

Community resources

[Follow us on Twitter](#) [Check our Reddit](#) [Twitter this](#) [Digg this page](#) [Contact us on IRC](#)

courage is contagious

Viewing cable 07USNATO408, REPORT OF SENIOR RESOURCE BOARD PLENARY MEETING JUN 28 & 29, 2007

If you are new to these pages, please read an introduction on the [structure of a cable](#) as well as how to [discuss them](#) with others. See also the [FAQs](#)

Understanding cables

Every cable message consists of three parts:

- The top box shows each cables unique reference number, when and by whom it originally was sent, and what its initial classification was.
- The middle box contains the header information that is associated with the cable. It includes information about the receiver(s) as well as a general subject.
- The bottom box presents the body of the cable. The opening can contain a more specific subject, references to other cables ([browse by origin](#) to find them) or additional comment. This is followed by the main contents of the cable: a summary, a collection of specific topics and a comment section.

To understand the justification used for the classification of each cable, please use this [WikiSource](#) article as reference.

Discussing cables

If you find meaningful or important information in a cable, please link directly to its unique reference number. Linking to a specific paragraph in the body of a cable is also possible by copying the appropriate link (to be found at the paragraph symbol). Please mark messages for social networking services like Twitter with the hash tags **#cablegate** and a hash containing the reference ID e.g. **#07USNATO408**.

Reference ID	Created	Released	Classification	Origin
07USNATO408	2007-07-09 06:57	2011-08-30 01:44	CONFIDENTIAL	Mission USNATO

Appears in these articles:

<http://www.aftenposten.no/spesial/wikileaksdokumenter/article3973864.ece>

VZCZCXYZ0010
RR RUEHWEB

DE RUEHNO #0408/01 1900657
ZNY CCCCC ZZH
R 090657Z JUL 07
FM USMISSION USNATO
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC 1029
RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC
INFO RUEHXP/ALL NATO POST COLLECTIVE
RUEHNO/USDELMC BRUSSELS BE
RUEKJCS/JOINT STAFF WASHDC//J-4/J-5/J-6//
RHMFISS/CDR USJFCOM NORFOLK VA//J4//
RHMFISS/CDR USEUCOM VAHINGEN GE//EC-J4//
RHMFISS/DISA WASHINGTON DC//NC3LO//
RHMFISS/HQ USCENTCOM MACDILL AFB FL//J4-E//

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 000408
SIPDIS
SIPDIS
STATE FOR EUR-RPM (MONAHAN);
DEFENSE FOR OASD/ISA (EURO-NATO); USDAT&L/DUSD-IE (VAUGHT);
OASD/NII (HANSEN);
EUCOM FOR J4-EN ENGINEER DIV (MC) (CAPT JACKSON);
CENTCOM-J4-E (COL FLANAGAN);
JOINT STAFF-J-4 (MR. MACKIE) J-5 (LTC SEAMON)
E.O. 12958: DECL: 01/01/2011
TAGS: [AORC](#) [MARR](#) [NATO](#)
SUBJECT: REPORT OF SENIOR RESOURCE BOARD PLENARY MEETING JUN 28 & 29, 2007
REF: A. USNATO 00383 DTG 221423Z JUN 07
[1](#)B. SECSTATE 90005 DTG 272156Z JUL 07
[1](#)C. SRB-N(2007)0040
[1](#)D. PO(2005)0098
[1](#)E. AC/281-N(2007)0062
[1](#)F. SRB-N(2007)0041
[1](#)G. SRB-WP(2007)0001-REV6

Classified By: ACTING DEFAD JAMES ENGLE. REASON 1.4.(B/D)

[1](#)1. (U) SUMMARY: The Senior Resource Board (SRB), meeting on June 28 and 29, endorsed the Capability Package (CP) for Vital Security Projects f

[1](#)2. (C) Details of the SRB deliberations follows:
RESOURCE PLANNING AND POLICY ISSUES
ITEM I. CRISIS RESPONSE OPERATIONS (CROs) AND MISSIONS
RESOURCE UPDATES.

[1](#)A. International Security Assistance Force (ISAF): Allied Command Operations (ACO) briefed the Board on the status of their ongoing campaign t
ACO reported that the recent force generation conference had fulfilled between 90 and 100 percent of the Minimum Military Requirement (MMR) for
The Infrastructure Committee (IC) Chairman reported that authorizations for ISAF to date are 440.4 million Euro (MEuro), and expenditures for 2

[1](#)B. BALKANS: ACO will provide an operational update for the Balkans in November in lieu of an autumn periodic mission review. The KFOR portion
ACO and the European Union (EU) reviewed the Camp Butmir, Bosnia, cost share principles and agreed to retain them; however, the NATO cost share
As a consequence of the KFOR Camp Nothing Hill audit which notes that construction commenced prior to IC project authorization, ACO reported th

[1](#)C. NATO TRAINING MISSION IRAQ (NTM-I): ACO reported that on June 11, the NAC approved the NATO provision of Gendarmerie-type training with Its
France, supported by Belgium and Spain, insisted that the NTM-I long-term resource development plan (LTRDP), briefed in a previous preparatory
The IS reported that 27 nations (including Switzerland) had pledged trust fund contributions that fully covered the 2007 requirements and most
[1](#)D. NATO LOGISTICAL SUPPORT TO THE AFRICAN UNION (AU) MISSION IN SUDAN (AMIS). ACO reported that since NATO had undertaken the AMIS mission in
On June 7, the NAC approved the provision of NATO airlift support for the AU Mission in Somalia (AMISOM). The Burundi battalion will likely be
ITEM II. REPORTING ISSUES WITH CURRENT AND FUTURE RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS.

[1](#)A. Short Notice Deployment of the NRF. The MC continues to discuss the definition of short notice deployment and is considering limiting comm
[1](#)B. Funding Implications of NATO participation in Humanitarian Operations. Some nations expressed initial views on the IS non-paper issued June
[1](#)C. NATO Command Structure (NCS) Peacetime Establishment Review. The IMS reported that nations are unable to agree to the latest proposal to ct
[1](#)D. CAOC Transition Plan. The MC has yet to agree the flags to post for the NCS to include the CAOCs, thus adding to the overall shortfalls in
[1](#)E. Protection Against Cyber Attacks. At the Riga Summit, Heads of State and Government agreed to pursue cyber defense within NATO and tasked t
[1](#)F. Missile Defense. The IS reported that they recently completed their preliminary assessment on the funding of a NATO missile defense capabil
[1](#)G. ACCS. The IS reported that 1.7 billion Euro is programmed for NSIP investment to support the ACCS; however, the program is currently three
[1](#)H. Funding for Defense Against Terrorism (DAT). The MC agreed advice to the NAC on the program for defense against terrorism. The IS will eval

ITEM III. BURDEN-SHARING DISCUSSIONS ECONOMIC BENEFIT.

Canada expressed disappointment that, despite several informal discussions, no measurable progress had been made by the Board on the burdenshar

ITEM IV. NATO HEADQUARTERS RESOURCE REFORM.

Peltier (US), Director of the NATO Office of Resources (NOR), updated the Board on the progress of establishing the NOR. Office relocations to The Chairman offered an interim solution to handle the urgent PE changes to implement the results of the Spearhead Study in which the Board, up

ITEM V. ACT TRANSFORMATION UPDATE.

ACT briefed the board on their ongoing future capabilities, research, and technology development activities to include: the NATO live, virtual,

ITEM VI. NSIP IMPLEMENTATION. The IS briefed the Board on their analysis of the slow NSIP implementation rate, highlighting that SHAPE and the

ITEM VII. 2008-2012 MEDIUM TERM RESOURCE PLAN (MTRP). Consensus appears to be reached per guidance on the 2008 NSIP, pensions, AWACS operations

ITEM VIII. FUNDING POLICY FOR PARTICIPATION OF PAKISTANI PERSONNEL IN NATO TRAINING COURSES. France insisted on maintaining a distinction betwe

ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES CAPABILITY PACKAGE AND STAND-ALONE PROJECTS.

ITEM IX.A. I.S./IMS CAPABILITY PACKAGE OVERVIEW.

The IMS briefed the status of new CPs. At nations request in the MC, the SCs will validate the projects in the revised Logistics Replenishment

ITEM IX.B. SACT CAPABILITY PACKAGE 9A0202 DEPLOYABLE GROUND BASED SENSORS FOR AIR C2 SURVEILLANCE AND IDENTIFICATION. The IMS reported that The

ITEM IX.C. SHAPE RECOMMENDED CAPABILITY PACKAGE 5A0150 VITAL SECURITY PROJECTS FOR NATO COMMAND FACILITIES. The IMS reported that the MC endorses NULAND